

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/530,737	Applicant(s) EHRENFREUND ET AL.	
	Examiner SARAH PIHONAK	Art Unit 1617	

All Participants:

(1) SARAH PIHONAK.

(2) JAMES D. WITHERS.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: Allowable

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:00

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Applicants' attorney, James D. Withers, was contacted regarding claim 16. Claim 16 is a dependent claim of claim 1, which had been cancelled. Permission from attorney was given to amend claim 16 as a dependent claim of claim 11. Claims 11-18 are allowed.